

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,335	10/23/2001	Dorothy A. Panhorst	67334	3868	
4955	7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER		
WARE FRE ADOLPHSO	SSOLA VAN DER SLU N. I.P.	BECKER, DREW E			
	GREEN BUILDING 5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			1761 DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* ::		Application No.	Ap	⊯ant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/045,335		HORST ET AL.	0		
		Examiner	Art	i [
		Drew E Becker	17€				
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication app	pears on the cover shee	et with the corres	pendence addr	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende - Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Status	communication. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: date of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period v d period for reply will, by statute n three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely fire. of thirty (30) days will a MONTHS from the mane ABANDONED (36)		nunication.		
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on 10 A	oril 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is closed in accordance wi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosed deal as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 C.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		para dadya, a		.,, 2,101			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s	• ,,				4		
5) Claim(s) is/are all	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are reject	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	jected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is object	ted to by the Examine	r.					
10)∭ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) 🗌 objected	I to by the Exan:	ir.			
Applicant may not request	hat any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37	₹ 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing shee	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a	nd 120						
12) Acknowledgment is mad a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of	None of: the priority documents the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received i	n Application N	.			
 Copies of the certi application from th * See the attached detailed 	e International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		⊰ Nat ional St	age		
13) Acknowledgment is made since a specific reference v 37 CFR 1.78.	of a claim for domestic was included in the firs	priority under 35 U.S t sentence of the spec	.C. § 119(e) (to cification or in a				
a) The translation of the 14) Acknowledgment is made reference was included in the control of th	of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTC of Informal Patent	○ Pap er No(s) lication (PTO-15			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/045,335 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a candy with a gelatin shell, classified in class 426, subclass 103.
- II. Claims 9-13, drawn to a candy with a natural polymer surrounding layer, classified in class 426, subclass 89.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to a candy with a flavoring consisting of a hydrophilic flavor encapsulated within a gelatin shell as claimed in group II, and a candy with a center filled liquid portion as claimed in group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. During a telephone conversation with Ted Carvis on January 8, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims

Application/Control Number: 10/045,335 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

1-8. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-13 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 1 refers to a Cherukuri Pat. No. "5,014,595", however this number is incorrect.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 9. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,335

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-8 recite "hydrophilic" flavors while the specification only appears to disclose "hydrophobic" flavors. In order to speed along prosecution, it will be assumed that "hydrophobic" was the intended term.

- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 12. Claims 1 and 3-8 recite "candy comprising a flavoring component consisting of". It is not clear whether other components and materials can be used, or not.
- 13. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the liquid flavoring portion". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 14. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the outer candy portion". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 15. Claim 3 recites a "chewy candy candy". It is not clear what this is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

16. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1761

17. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wampler et al [Pat. No. 5,759,599].

Wampler et al teach candy comprising a flavoring component consisting of a hydrophobic flavor encapsulated within a gelatin shell (Examples D & 37-39) and an absence of boiling. Recitations such as "hard", "chewy", and "gummy" have not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Soeda et al [Pat. No. 6,592,916], Mutka et al [Pat. No. 6,607,778], and Yang [Pat. No. 4,448,789] teach candies with hydrophilic and hydrophobic flavors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-5pm and every other Fri. 8am-4pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,335 Page 6

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761